UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,933	07/26/2005	Masaru Kagawa	Q85336	6185	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/04/200 ON, PLLC	7	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, CAM N		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, =		1754		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAP	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		KAGAWA, MASARU	
Office Action Summary	10/518,933 Examiner	Art Unit	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Cam N. Nguyen	with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP! WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIATED THIS COMMUNIATED THE COMMUNICATION	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01/	11/07 (an amendment/res	ponse).	
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	·	•	s
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			•
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdration 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			·
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on originally filed is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/11/07.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment and remarks, filed January 11, 2007, has been made of record and entered. Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 1-2 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)/103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Noguchi et al., "hereinafter referred to as Noguchi", (US Pat. 4,237,030).

Noguchi discloses a catalyst for purifying exhaust gas from an internal combustion engine comprising: a catalyst carrier consisting mainly of over 80% by weight of α -alumina and

less than 20% by weight of sintering promoter; and a catalyst consisting essentially of platinum catalyst material (see col. 8- col. 9, claim 1).

With respect to the claimed limitation on "purity of said alumina is 99.95% or above", while Noguchi is silent with respect to the purity of the α -alumina, it is inherent and expected that the same " α -alumina" support material would possess the same purity.

If in fact the " α -alumina" support material disclosed by Noguchi does not possess the claimed % purity, then the following applies.

It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have controlled the process conditions during the process of making such " α -alumina" support material in order to result in a high purity, such as the claimed % purity, in view of *In re Boesch*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartsch (US Pat. 4,119,567).

Bartsch discloses a supported catalyst composition containing an amount of palladium supported on an alumina support having a crystalline alpha-alumina content of greater than about 96%, a theta-alumina content of less than about 3%, etc. (see col. 8, claim 1). Bartsch

Art Unit: 1754

further discloses that other Group VIII metals, such as platinum, rhodium, ruthenium, iridium, or salts thereof may be employed by themselves or in combination with the palladium metal (see col. 5, ln 24-31).

There is no patentable distinction seen between the claimed catalyst and that disclosed by the reference. Thus, the claim is anticipated by the teaching of Bartsch.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al., "hereinafter referred to as Noguchi", (US Pat. 4,237,030) or Bartsch (US Pat. 4,119,567) in view of Itoh et al., "hereinafter referred to as Itoh", (US Pat. 5,997,830).

Noguchi and Bartsch disclose supported catalyst compositions as described above, but silent with respect to the platinum particle diameter.

However, it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized such known platinum metal in Noguchi and Barstch to make their catalysts because "platinum" having an average particle diameter of 2 to 300 nm (or 20-3000 A) is known and has been used as a catalytically active metal to make catalysts, as evidenced by Itoh (see Itoh at col. 20, claim 13). Note that the claimed particle diameter is met because it falls within the disclosed range.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,933 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Response to Applicants' Arguments

8. Applicants' amendment and response filed on January 11, 2007 has been fully considered, but not deemed persuasive for the following reasons.

First, applicants appear to be urging on the intended use of the claimed catalyst. The limitation on "for selectively oxidizing carbon monoxide in reformed gas with oxygen gas" in the instant claim 1 has been noted. However, this limitation is regarded as intended use limitation, which has no bearing on the patentability of the claimed catalyst. Since the instant claims are called for "a catalyst", only the catalyst limitations that determined the patentability of the claimed catalyst. Even though the catalysts disclosed in the prior art are used for treating exhaust gases or for another catalytic process as applicants argued, the catalyst compositions are the same since they contain the same metal component, Pt, and alumina support material as required in the instant claims. It is considered the new use or different use of the catalyst does not change the characteristic of the catalyst composition or the claimed composition depends on the intended use for completeness.

Second, applicants urged, that "the present invention does not ordinary contain θ -alumina, as noted at page 5, line 35 to page 6, line 11 of the specification". This is not found persuasive because while this is disclosed in the specification, but it is not being claimed. The instant claim 1 does not exclude θ -alumina or being limited to what being claimed.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-2 are pending. Claims 1-2 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Contacts

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM, at alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,933

Art Unit: 1754

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Nguyen/cnn

Primary Examiner

March 30, 2007

Art Unit: 1754